

Blow-up criterion of strong solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in Besov spaces with negative indices

Baoquan Yuan and Bo Zhang
 Institute of Applied Mathematics,
 Academy of Mathematics & Systems Science
 Chinese Academy of Sciences
 Beijing 100080, P.R. China
 (bqyuan@hpu.edu.cn (BY) and b.zhang@amt.ac.cn (BZ))

Abstract

A Hölder type inequality in Besov spaces is established and applied to show that every strong solution $u(t, x)$ on $(0, T)$ of the Navier-Stokes equations can be continued beyond $t > T$ provided that the vorticity $\omega(t, x) \in L^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(0, T; \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(0, T; \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$.

AMS Subject Classification 2000: 35Q30.

Key words: Navier-Stokes equations, Besov spaces, blow-up criterion, regularity of weak solutions.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the blow-up criterion of strong solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t \in (0, T), \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t \in (0, T), \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $u = u(t, x) = (u^1(t, x), u^2(t, x), \dots, u^n(t, x))$ and $p = p(t, x)$ denote the unknown velocity vector and the unknown pressure of the fluid at the point $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, respectively, and $u_0(x) = (u_0^1(x), u_0^2(x), \dots, u_0^n(x))$ is a given initial datum satisfying the divergence free constraint that $\nabla \cdot u_0(x) = 0$, and $n \geq 2$ is the space dimension.

There are many classical results on the local existence of smooth solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1). For example, Fujita and Kato [4] proved that for $u_0(x) \in$

H^s with $s > \frac{n}{2} - 1$ there exists a $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H^s}) > 0$ such that there exists a unique solution $u(t, x)$ to the problem (1.1) in the class

$$u(t, x) \in C([0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))) \cap C^1((0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))) \cap C((0, T; H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^n))). \quad (1.2)$$

Later on, many authors established the well-posedness of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) in more general spaces [1, 6, 7, 10, 14, 16]. It is interesting to ask whether or not the solution $u(t, x)$ blows up at time $t = T$ in some space-time spaces. This question has been studied by many authors. For example, Giga [18] proved that, if $u(t, x)$ is a solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) in the class (1.2) and satisfies that

$$u(t, x) \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{p} = 1, \quad n < p \leq \infty, \quad 2 \leq q < \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

then u can be extended, as a solution in the class (1.2), onto $(0, T')$ for some $T' > T$. On the other hand, the space-time Lebesgue space $L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ plays a significant role in the study of regularity of weak solutions. For instance, Leray-Hopf weak solution $u(t, x)$ becomes a unique strong solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) on $(0, T]$ if it satisfies the condition (1.3). For regularity of weak solutions, see [15, 5, 12].

From the hydrodynamical point of view, we want to study the regularity of weak solutions by means of the vorticity $\omega(t, x) = \operatorname{curl} u(t, x) = \nabla \times u(t, x)$. Beirão da Veiga proved that, if the vorticity $\omega(t, x)$ of the Leray-Hopf weak solution $u(t, x)$ belongs to $L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ with $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{p} = 2$ for $1 < q < \infty$ and $n/2 < p < \infty$, then $u(t, x)$ becomes a strong solution on $(0, T)$ (see [3]). In the end-point case $p = \infty$, Kozono and Taniuchi [9] established the regularity of weak solutions under the condition

$$\omega(t, x) \in L^1(0, T; BMO),$$

where BMO stands for the space of bounded mean oscillations. Based on a logarithmic Sobolev inequality in Besov spaces, Kozono, Ogawa and Taniuchi [12] extended the above condition to the condition

$$\omega(t, x) \in L^q(0, T; \dot{B}_{p, \infty}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)) \text{ with } \frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{p} = 2, \quad \frac{n}{2} < p \leq \infty,$$

where $\dot{B}_{p, q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ stands for the homogeneous Besov space. Kozono and Shimada [8] established the continuation principle of the smooth solution $u(t, x)$ on $(0, T)$ under the condition

$$u(t, x) \in L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(0, T; \dot{F}_{\infty, \infty}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\dot{F}_{p, q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ stands for the homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin space.

From the scaling invariant point of view, it is important that the solution $u(t, x)$ in the space $L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is scaling invariant, that is, $\|u_\lambda(t, x)\|_{L^q(0, T; L^p)} = \|u(t, x)\|_{L^q(0, T; L^p)}$ for $2/q + n/p = 1$, $2 \leq q < \infty$ and $n < p \leq \infty$, where $u_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$ with $\lambda > 0$. Similarly, the vorticity $\omega(t, x)$ of a solution is also scaling invariant, that is, $\|\omega_\lambda(t, x)\|_{L^q(0, T; L^p)} = \|\omega(t, x)\|_{L^q(0, T; L^p)}$ for $2/q + n/p = 2$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ and

$n/2 < p \leq \infty$, where $\omega_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^2 \omega(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$ with $\lambda > 0$. So the regularity criterion of weak solutions in terms of the solution $u(t, x)$ and the vorticity $\omega(t, x)$ is very natural by the scaling invariance standard.

It is natural to ask if the continuation principle remains true for the smooth solution $u(t, x)$ on $(0, T)$ under a condition for the vorticity $\omega(t, x)$ similar to (1.4). In this paper we shall prove that such a continuation criterion can be derived for the smooth solution $u(t, x)$ on $(0, T)$ under the assumption that

$$\omega(t, x) \in L^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(0, T; \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(0, T; \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad (1.5)$$

for $0 < \alpha < 1$. Note that the space-time spaces $L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(0, T; \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $L^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(0, T; \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(0, T; \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ are scaling invariant.

To establish the continuation principle, we need a bilinear estimate of the Hölder type in the homogeneous Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p, q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s > 0$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$:

$$\|f_1 \cdot f_2; \dot{B}_{p, q}^s\| \leq C(\|f_1; \dot{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s+\alpha}\| \|f_2; \dot{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{-\alpha}\| + \|f_1; \dot{B}_{p_3, q_3}^{-\beta}\| \|f_2; \dot{B}_{p_4, q_4}^{s+\beta}\|) \quad (1.6)$$

for any $f_1 \in \dot{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s+\alpha} \cap \dot{B}_{p_3, q_3}^{-\beta}$, $f_2 \in \dot{B}_{p_4, q_4}^{s+\beta} \cap \dot{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{-\alpha}$, where $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $s > 0$, $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/p_3 + 1/p_4$ and $1/q = 1/q_1 + 1/q_2 = 1/q_3 + 1/q_4$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we first recall the definition of Besov spaces and then prove the Hölder type inequality (1.6). Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main theorem on the continuation principle under the condition (1.5) for the strong solution $u(t, x)$.

2 Preliminaries

We first introduce the Littlewood-Paley decomposition and the definition of Besov spaces. For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Schwartz class of rapidly decreasing functions, define the Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}f(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$$

and the inverse Fourier transform:

$$\check{f}(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} f(\xi) d\xi.$$

Choose a non-negative radial function $\chi(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $0 \leq \chi(\xi) \leq 1$ and

$$\chi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |\xi| \leq \frac{3}{4}, \\ 0, & \text{for } |\xi| > \frac{4}{3}, \end{cases}$$

and let $\hat{\varphi}(\xi) = \chi(\xi/2) - \chi(\xi)$, $\chi_j(\xi) = \chi(\frac{\xi}{2^j})$ and $\hat{\varphi}_j(\xi) = \hat{\varphi}(\frac{\xi}{2^j})$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi(x), \\ h_j(x) &= 2^{nj}h(2^jx), \\ \varphi_j(x) &= 2^{nj}\varphi(2^jx). \end{aligned}$$

Define the Littlewood-Paley projection operators S_j and Δ_j , respectively, as

$$\begin{aligned} S_j u(x) &= h_j * u(x) \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \Delta_j u(x) &= \varphi_j * u(x) = S_{j+1} u(x) - S_j u(x) \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Formally, Δ_j is a frequency projection to the annulus $|\xi| \sim 2^j$, whilst S_j is a frequency projection to the ball $|\xi| \lesssim 2^j$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $u(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have the Littlewood-Paley decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= h * u(x) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi_j * u(x), \\ u(x) &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_j * u(x). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \chi(\xi) \cap \text{supp } \hat{\varphi}_j(\xi) &= \emptyset \text{ for } j \geq 1, \\ \text{supp } \hat{\varphi}_j(\xi) \cap \text{supp } \hat{\varphi}_{j'}(\xi) &= \emptyset, \text{ for } |j - j'| \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

We now recall the definition of Besov spaces. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, the Besov space $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (denote by $B_{p,q}^s$) is defined by

$$B_{p,q}^s = \{f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} < \infty\}$$

with the norm

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} = (\|h * f\|_p^q + \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{jsq} \|\varphi_j * f\|_p^q)^{1/q}.$$

The homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s$ is defined by the dyadic decomposition as

$$\dot{B}_{p,q}^s = \{f \in \mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} < \infty\}$$

with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} = \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} \|\varphi_j * f\|_p^q \right)^{1/q},$$

where $\mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the dual space of

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) : D^\alpha \hat{f}(0) = 0, \text{ for any multi-index } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$$

and can be identified by the quotient space $\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P}$ with the set $\mathcal{P}$ of polynomial functions. For details see [2], [13] or [17].

For convenience we recall the definition of Bony's para-product formula which gives the decomposition of the product $f \cdot g$ of two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$.

Definition 2.1. The para-product of two functions f and g is defined by

$$T_g f = \sum_{i \leq j-2} \Delta_i g \Delta_j f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{j-1} g \Delta_j f.$$

The remainder of the para-product is defined by

$$R(f, g) = \sum_{|i-j| \leq 1} \Delta_i g \Delta_j f.$$

Then Bony's para-product formula reads

$$f \cdot g = T_g f + T_f g + R(f, g). \quad (2.1)$$

We now have the bilinear estimate of the Hölder type in the Besov space $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $s > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. Choose $1 \leq p_i, q_i \leq \infty$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} &= \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{p_4}, \\ \frac{1}{q} &= \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{q_3} + \frac{1}{q_4}. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a constant C such that $f_1 \cdot f_2 \in \dot{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|f_1 \cdot f_2; \dot{B}_{p,q}^s\| \leq C(\|f_1; \dot{B}_{p_1,q_1}^{s+\alpha}\| \|f_2; \dot{B}_{p_2,q_2}^{-\alpha}\| + \|f_1; \dot{B}_{p_3,q_3}^{-\beta}\| \|f_2; \dot{B}_{p_4,q_4}^{s+\beta}\|)$$

for any $f_1 \in \dot{B}_{p_1,q_1}^{s+\alpha} \cap \dot{B}_{p_3,q_3}^{-\beta}$, $f_2 \in \dot{B}_{p_2,q_2}^{-\alpha} \cap \dot{B}_{p_4,q_4}^{s+\beta}$.

Proof. By Bony's para-product decomposition (2.1) one has

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 \cdot f_2 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta_k f_1 S_{k-1} f_2 + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta_k f_2 S_{k-1} f_1 + \sum_{|i-j| \leq 1} \Delta_i f_1 \Delta_j f_2 \\ &\triangleq I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We first compute the Besov norm $\|I_1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s}$ of I_1 . Since $\text{supp}[\mathcal{F}(S_{k-1} f_2)] \subseteq \{|\xi| \leq \frac{2}{3} 2^k\}$ and $\text{supp}[\mathcal{F}(\Delta_k f_1)] \subseteq \{\frac{3}{4} 2^k \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{2}{3} 2^{k+2}\}$, then we have

$$\text{supp}[\mathcal{F}(\Delta_k f_1 S_{k-1} f_2)] \subseteq \left\{ \frac{1}{3} 2^{k-2} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{5}{3} 2^{k+1} \right\}.$$

Thus by the definition of the Besov norm we can derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} &= \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{sjq} \left\| \Delta_j \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_k f_1 S_{k-1} f_2 \right\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{sjq} \left\| \Delta_j \sum_{k=j-3}^{j+5} \Delta_k f_1 S_{k-1} f_2 \right\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{sjq} \left(\sum_{l=-3}^5 \|\Delta_{l+j} f_1\|_{p_1} \|S_{j+l-1} f_2\|_{p_2}\|_{p_2} \right)^q \right)^{1/q}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where use has been made of the Hölder inequality and the fact that $\|\Delta_j f\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the Minkowski and Hölder inequalities the last term on the right-hand side of (2.3) can be bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned}
& C \sum_{l=-3}^5 \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{sjq} \|\Delta_{l+j} f_1\|_{p_1}^q \|S_{l+j-1} f_2\|_{p_2}^q \right)^{1/q} \\
& \leq C \sum_{l=-3}^5 2^{-\alpha-sl} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(s+\alpha)(j+l)} \|\Delta_{l+j} f_1\|_{p_1}^q 2^{-\alpha(l+j-1)q} \|S_{l+j-1} f_2\|_{p_2}^q \right)^{1/q} \\
& \leq C \|f_1\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s+\alpha}} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\alpha(l+j-1)q_2} \|S_{l+j-1} f_2\|_{p_2}^{q_2} \right)^{1/q_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting the equivalence of the Besov norms

$$\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{sj} \|\Delta_j f\|_p)^q \right)^{1/q} \sim \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{sj} \|S_j f\|_p)^q \right)^{1/q}$$

for $s < 0$, we arrive at

$$\|I_1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} \leq C \|f_1\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s+\alpha}} \|f_2\|_{\dot{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{-\alpha}}. \quad (2.4)$$

If either p or q equals to infinite, the above argument can be modified accordingly to get the estimate (2.4). For I_2 arguing similarly as above gives

$$\|I_2\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} \leq C \|f_2\|_{\dot{B}_{p_3, q_3}^{s+\beta}} \|f_1\|_{\dot{B}_{p_4, q_4}^{-\beta}}. \quad (2.5)$$

We now consider I_3 . Since $\text{supp}[\mathcal{F}(\Delta_k f_1 \Delta_{k+l} f_2)] \subseteq \{|\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}2^k(1+2^l)\}$ and $\text{supp}[\mathcal{F}(\Delta_j f)] \subseteq \{\frac{3}{4}2^j \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}2^j\}$, it follows by the definition of Besov spaces that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_3\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} &= \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{sjq} \left\| \Delta_j \sum_{|k-l| \leq 1} \Delta_k f_1 \Delta_l f_2 \right\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq C \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{sjq} \left\| \Delta_j \sum_{k \geq j-4} \sum_{l=-1}^1 \Delta_k f_1 \Delta_{k+l} f_2 \right\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq C \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{sjq} \left(\sum_{r \geq -4} \sum_{l=-1}^1 \|\Delta_{j+r} f_1\|_{p_1} \|\Delta_{r+j+l} f_2\|_{p_2} \right)^q \right)^{1/q},
\end{aligned}$$

where use has been made of the Minkowski and Hölder inequalities. Using the Minkowski

and Hölder inequalities again the above inequality can be evaluated continually as

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_3\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} &\leq C \sum_{r \geq -4} \sum_{l=-1}^1 \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{sjq} \|\Delta_{j+r} f_1\|_{p_1}^q \|\Delta_{r+j+l} f_2\|_{p_2}^q \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq C \sum_{r \geq -4} \sum_{l=-1}^1 \left(2^{(-sr+\alpha)q} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(s+\alpha)(j+r)q} \|\Delta_{j+r} f_1\|_{p_1}^q 2^{-\alpha(j+r+l)q} \|\Delta_{j+r+l} f_2\|_{p_2}^q \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq C \sum_{r \geq -4} \sum_{l=-1}^1 2^{-sr+\alpha} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(s+\alpha)jq_1} \|\Delta_j f_1\|_{p_1}^{q_1} \right)^{1/q_1} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\alpha jq_2} \|\Delta_j f_2\|_{p_2}^{q_2} \right)^{1/q_2} \\
&\leq C \|f_1\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1,q_1}^{s+\alpha}} \|f_2\|_{\dot{B}_{p_2,q_2}^{-\alpha}}. \tag{2.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the estimates (2.2), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. $\square$

3 The blow-up criterion

In this section we take $n = 3$ as an example to present the blow-up criterion. We first introduce some notations and function spaces. Denote by $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the set of all C^∞ vector functions $f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x))$ with compact support satisfying that $\nabla \cdot f(x) = 0$. $L_\sigma^r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the closure of $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ -functions with respect to the L^r -norm $\|\cdot\|_r$ for $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. $H_\sigma^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denotes the closure of $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ -functions with respect to the H^s -norm $\|f\|_{H^s} = \|(1 - \Delta)^{s/2} f\|_2$ for $s \geq 0$. We are now ready to state and prove the continuation principle of strong solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $T > 0$ and $u_0 \in H_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Assume that*

$$u(t, x) \in C([0, T]; H_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C^1((0, T); H_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C((0, T); H_\sigma^3(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

is a strong solution to the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1). If $u(t, x)$ satisfies

$$\int_0^T \left(\|\omega(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} + \|\omega(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} \right) d\tau < \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

then $u(t, x)$ can be continually extended to the interval $(0, T')$ for some $T' > T$, where $\omega(t, x) = \nabla \times u(t, x)$ is the vorticity.

An immediate corollary is as follows.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and let $u(t, x)$ be a strong solution to the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) satisfying that*

$$u(t, x) \in C([0, T]; H_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C^1((0, T); H_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C((0, T); H_\sigma^3(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

If T is the maximal existence time, then

$$\int_0^T \left(\|\omega(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} + \|\omega(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} \right) d\tau = \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We begin with the Navier-Stokes equations in the vorticity form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega - \Delta \omega + (u \cdot \nabla) \omega - (\omega \cdot \nabla) u = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \in (0, T), \\ \omega(x, 0) = \omega_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} \omega_0(x) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Multiplying the first equation of (3.3) by $\omega(t, x)$ and integrating by parts, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega(t, \cdot)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \omega(t, \cdot)\|_2^2 = (\omega \cdot \nabla u, \omega), \quad (3.4)$$

where use has been made of the fact that $(u \cdot \nabla \omega, \omega) = 0$. Since $(\omega \cdot \nabla u, \omega) = (\nabla(\omega \otimes u), \omega)$, we have by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\omega \cdot \nabla u, \omega)| &= |(\nabla(\omega \otimes u), \omega)| = |(\Lambda^{-\alpha} \nabla(\omega \otimes u), \Lambda^\alpha \omega)| \\ &\leq \|\omega \otimes u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^{1-\alpha}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \omega\|_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$.

We now estimate $\|\omega \otimes u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^{1-\alpha}}$. By Lemma 2.1 with $\alpha = \beta$, $p_1 = q_1 = 2$, $p_2 = q_2 = \infty$, $p_3 = q_3 = \infty$ and $p_4 = q_4 = 2$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega \otimes u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^{1-\alpha}} &\leq C(\|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^1} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}} + \|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^1}) \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla \omega\|_2 \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1-\alpha}} + \|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^0}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Noting the L^p boundedness of singular integral operators for $1 < p < \infty$:

$$\|\nabla u(t, \cdot)\|_p \leq C \|\omega(t, \cdot)\|_p, \quad (3.7)$$

and by (3.5), (3.6) and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\omega \cdot \nabla u, \omega)| &\leq C(\|\nabla \omega\|_2 \|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1-\alpha}} + \|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}} \|\omega\|_2) \|\Lambda^\alpha \omega\|_2 \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla \omega\|_2 \|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1-\alpha}} + \|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}} \|\omega\|_2) \|\omega\|_2^{1-\alpha} \|\nabla \omega\|_2^\alpha \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla \omega\|_2^{1+\alpha} \|\omega\|_2^{1-\alpha} \|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1-\alpha}} + \|\nabla \omega\|_2^\alpha \|\omega\|_2^{2-\alpha} \|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}}). \end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality the above inequality can be estimated continually as

$$\begin{aligned} |(\omega \cdot \nabla u, \omega)| &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \omega\|_2^2 + C \|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} \|\omega\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \omega\|_2^2 + \|\omega\|_2^2 \|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \omega\|_2^2 + C \|\omega\|_2^2 \left(\|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} + \|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Combining (3.8) with (3.4) we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega(t, \cdot)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \omega(t, \cdot)\|_2^2 \leq C \|\omega\|_2^2 \left(\|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} + \|\omega\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \right). \quad (3.9)$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality to (3.9) yields

$$\|\omega(t)\|_2 \leq \|\omega_0\|_2 \exp \left\{ -C \int_0^T \left(\|\omega(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} + \|\omega(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \right) d\tau \right\}.$$

This, together with (3.7) with $p = 2$, implies that

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_2 \leq \|\omega_0\|_2 \exp \left\{ -C \int_0^T \left(\|\omega(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} + \|\omega(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \right) d\tau \right\}. \quad (3.10)$$

On the other hand, the strong solution $u(t, x)$ satisfies the energy identity:

$$\|u(t)\|_2^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau = \|u_0\|_2^2 \quad (3.11)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Thus by (3.10) and (3.11) we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1} \leq \|u_0\|_2 + \|\omega_0\|_2 \exp \left\{ -C \int_0^T \left(\|\omega(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} + \|\omega(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \right) d\tau \right\} < \infty,$$

provided that u satisfies the condition (3.1). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Acknowledgements

The research of B Yuan was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 10571016, and Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province No. 0611055500. The research of B Zhang was supported by the Chinese Academy of Sciences through the Hundred Talents Program.

References

- [1] O.A. Barraza, Self-similar solutions in weak L^p -spaces of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* **12** (1996), 411-439.
- [2] J. Bergh and J. Löfstrom, *Interpolation Spaces*. Springer, New York, 1976.
- [3] H. Beirão da Veiga, Concerning the regularity problem for the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t.321. Série I* (1995), 405-408.
- [4] H. Fujita and T. Kato, On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **16** (1964), 269-315.
- [5] E. Fabes, B. Jones and N. Riviere, The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in L^p . *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **45** (1972), 222-248.
- [6] T. Kato, Strong L^p -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with applications to weak solutions. *Math. Z.* **187** (1984), 471-480.

- [7] T. Kato, Strong solutions of the Navier–Stokes equation in Morrey spaces. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat.* **22** (1992) 127-155.
- [8] H. Kozono and Y. Shimada, Bilinear estimates in homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and the Navier-Stokes equations. *Math. Nachr.* **276** (2004), 63-76.
- [9] H. Kozono and Y. Taniuchi, Bilinear estimates in BMO and the Navier-Stokes equations. *Math. Z.* **235** (2000), 173-194.
- [10] H. Kozono and M. Yamazaki, Semilinear heat equations and the Navier-Stokes equation with distributions in new function spaces as initial data. *Commun. Part. Differ. Equat.* **19** (1994), 959-1014.
- [11] H. Kozono and N. Yatsu, Extension criterion via two-components of vorticity on strong solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations. *Math. Z.* **246** (2004), 55-68.
- [12] H. Kozono, T. Ogawa and Y. Taniuchi, The critical Sobolev inequalities in Besov spaces and regularity criterion to some semi-linear evolution equations. *Math. Z.* **242** (2002), 251-278.
- [13] C. Miao, *Harmonic Analysis and Application to Partial Differential Equations* (2nd Edition). Science Press, Beijing, 2004.
- [14] C. Miao and B. Yuan, Weak Morrey spaces and strong solutions for the Navier–Stokes equations. Submitted.
- [15] J. Serrin, On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **9** (1962), 187-191.
- [16] M.E. Taylor, Analysis on Morrey spaces and applications to Navier-Stokes and other evolution equations. *Commun. Part. Differ. Equat.* **17** (1992), 1407-1456.
- [17] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces*, Monograph in Mathematics 78. Birkhauser, Basel, 1983.
- [18] Y. Giga, Solutions for semilinear parabolic equations in L^p and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system. *J. Differ. Equat.* **61** (1986), 186-212.